

Message Passing

Channels

Message Passing

- ❑ Communication links can be established between threads/processes. There are three important issues:
- ❑ **Naming**: How to refer to each other?
- ❑ **Synchronization**: Shall we wait when participating a message activity?
- ❑ **Buffering**: Can message wait in a communication link?

Naming: Direct Communication

Symmetric Scheme

- **Direct Communication:** Each process that wants to communicate must explicitly name the other party:
 - ❖ `Send(receiver, message);`
 - ❖ `Receive(sender, message);`
- **With this scheme:**
 - ❖ A link is established between exactly two processes.
 - ❖ Exactly one link exists between each pair of processes.
 - ❖ We may establish these links for those processes that want to communicate before they run

Naming: Direct Communication

Asymmetric Scheme

□ In this scheme, we have

✦ `Send(receiver, message);`

✦ `Receive(id, message);`

□ The `Receive()` primitive receives the ID of the sender. Thus, in this scheme, a receiver can receive message from any process.

Naming: Direct Communication

- There are disadvantages in this symmetric and asymmetric schemes:
 - ❖ Changing the name/ID of a process may require examining all other process definitions.
 - ❖ Processes must know the IDs of the other parties to start a communication.

Naming: Indirect Communication

- ❑ With indirect communication, the messages are sent to and received from *mailboxes* or *ports*.
- ❑ Each mailbox has a unique ID.
- ❑ The primitives are
 - ❖ `Send(mailbox-name, message);`
 - ❖ `Receive(mailbox-name, message);`
- ❑ Thus, messages are sent to and received from mailboxes.

Naming: Indirect Communication

Communication Links

- ☐ There is a link between two processes only if they share a mailbox.
- ☐ A link may be shared by multiple processes.
- ☐ Multiple links may exist between each pair of processes, with each link corresponding to a mailbox.

Naming: Indirect Communication

Communication Links

- What if there is only one message in a mailbox and two processes execute `Receive()`? It depends on the following:
 - ❖ Only one link between at most two processes
 - ❖ Allow at most one process to receive at a time
 - ❖ Allow the system to select an arbitrary order

Synchronization

- The sender and receiver may be blocked:
 - ❖ **Blocking Send**: the sender blocks until its message is received
 - ❖ **Nonblocking Send**: the sender sends and resumes its execution
 - ❖ **Blocking Receive**: the receiver blocks until a message is available
 - ❖ **Nonblocking Receive**: the receiver receives a message or a null.
- When both send and receive are blocking, we have a *rendezvous* between the sender and receiver.

Synchronous vs. Asynchronous

□ *Blocking* and *non-blocking* are known as **synchronous** and **asynchronous**.

- ❖ If the sender and receiver must **synchronize** their activities, use synchronous communication.
- ❖ Because the **uncertainty in the order of events**, asynchronous communication is more difficult to program.
- ❖ On the other hand, asynchronous algorithms are **general** and **portable**, because they are guaranteed to run correctly in networks with arbitrary timing behavior.

Capacity

□ The *capacity* of a communication link is its **buffer** size:

- ❖ **Zero Capacity:** Since no message can be waiting in the link, it is **synchronous**. Sender may block
- ❖ **Unbounded Capacity:** Messages can wait in the link. Sender never blocks and the link is **asynchronous**. *The order of messages being received does not have to be FIFO.*
- ❖ **Bounded Capacity:** Buffered Message Passing. Sender blocks if the buffer is full, and the link is **asynchronous**.